UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/702,319	11/06/2003	ARBG-004/07US 307197-2038	7997	
	7590 09/05/200 <b>DWARD KRONISH</b> LI	EXAMINER		
ATTN: Patent (	Group	QIAN, CELINE X		
Suite 1100 777 - 6th Stree	t, NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO		1636		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Communication		Application l	No.	Applicant(s)					
			10/702,319		PERERA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit				
			CELINE X. Q		1636				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appea	ars on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>5/28/08</i>	8						
•	•	2b)⊠ This a		final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition	<i>-</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is			
- ,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	ion of Claims								
4)🛛	☑ Claim(s) <i>1-11 and 15-21</i> is/are pending in the application.								
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) 1 is/are allowed.								
	⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-11 and 15-20</u> is/are rejected.								
	☑ Claim(s) <u>2-11 and 10-20</u> is/are rejected. ☑ Claim(s) <u>21</u> is/are objected to.								
	B) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	ion Papers								
9)□	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner							
•	The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 Novembe</u>			pted or b)□ object	ed to by the Exan	niner.			
, , <u> </u>	- ' '		•	·	-				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
2)  Notic 3)  Inform	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	4) 5) 6)	<b>=</b>	ite				

# DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-11, 15-21 are pending in the application.

## Response to Amendment

The rejection of claims 7, 15, 16 and 18 under 35 U.S.C. 112 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph has been withdrawn in light of Applicant's amendment.

Claims 2-11, 15-17 and 20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph for reasons discussed below.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 2-11, 15-17, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The rejection is re-written to address the amendment of the claims.

The written description requirement is set forth by 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph which states that the: "specification shall contain a written description of the invention. . . [emphasis added]." The written description requirement has been well established and characterized in the case law. A specification must convey to one of skill in the art that "as of the filing date sought, [the inventor] was in possession of the invention." See *Vas Cath v. Mahurkar* 935 F.2d 1555, 1560 19 USPQ2d 1111, 1117 (Fed. Cir. 1991). Applicant may show that he is in "possession" of

the invention claimed by describing the invention with all of its claimed limitations "by such descriptive means as words, structures, figures, diagrams, formulas, etc., that fully set forth the

claimed invention." See Lockwood v. American Airlines Inc. 107 F.3d 1565, 1572, 41 USPQ2d

1961, 1966 (Fed. Cir. 1997).

In analyzing whether the written description requirement is met, it is first determined whether a representative number of species have been described by their complete structure. Next, it is determined whether a representative number of species have been sufficiently described by other relevant identifying characteristics. Claim 2 recites a functional vascular tissue specific E. grandis cOMT promoter that comprises fragments from SEQ ID NO:12, SEQ ID NO:60, nucleotides 1-1643 of SEQ ID NO:113, nucleotides 1019-1643 of SEQ ID NO: 113. The claimed genus of nucleic acids encompasses a large number of sequences of various length and various structure (sequence) of the E. grandis cOMT gene. The instant specification only discloses that the sequences from the 5'UTR of cOMT gene, particularly, a 1700 nucleotide from SEQ ID NO: 113 and the sequence of SEQ ID NO: 12, which have the vascular tissue specific regulatory function. The specification fails to disclose whether other fragments of SEQ ID NO: 113 or the sequence recited in claim 2 that have the claimed vascular tissue specific regulatory function. Moreover, the specification does not disclose what necessary element is required for the claimed function. The instant specification also fails to whether this fragment of 1019-1643, 1525-1643 (claims 19 and 21) of SEQ ID NO:113 has vascular specific promoter activity. Regarding claims 4-11 and 15-17 and 20, the claims are related to a construct or using a construct that comprises a promoter sequence and DNA sequence of interest, wherein the promoter is the nucleic acid of claim 1 or 2. However, claim 1 is drawn to an isolated

Art Unit: 1636

polynucleotide sequence that comprises the entire sequence of SEQ ID NO:113, which does not have the function of vascular tissue specific *E. grandis* cOMT promoter according to the specification. As such, the specification fails to provide adequate support for the claimed invention. Therefore, in view of the claimed broad genus, and the limited disclosure of the instant specification, the description in the instant specification is not adequate to support the claimed genus.

In response to the written description rejection, the response filed on 10/30/07 indicates that the claimed fragments has adequate description in the provisional application 60/425,087. This rejection is maintained because the incorporation by reference is improper according to the 37CRF1.57. According to MPEP, Essential material" is defined >in 37 CFR 1.57(c)< as that which is necessary to (1) provide a written description of the claimed invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same, and set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out the invention as required by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112, (2) describe the claimed invention in terms that particularly point out and distinctly claim the invention as required by the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112, or (3) describe the structure, material, or acts that correspond to a claimed means or step for performing a specified function as required by the sixth paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112. In any application that is to issue as a U.S. patent, essential material may only be incorporated by reference to a U.S. patent or patent application publication. Since the material provided in 60/425,087 is necessary to provide a written description of the claimed invention, it is considered to be "essential material." The incorporation of essential

Application/Control Number: 10/702,319 Page 5

Art Unit: 1636

material in the specification by reference to an unpublished U.S. application, foreign application or patent, or to a publication is improper. Applicant is required to amend the disclosure to include the material incorporated by reference, if the material is relied upon to overcome any objection, rejection, or other requirement imposed by the Office. The amendment must be accompanied by a statement executed by the applicant, or a practitioner representing the applicant, stating that the material being inserted is the material previously incorporated by reference and that the amendment contains no new matter. 37 CFR 1.57(f).

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This is a new matter rejection.

Claim 20 recites a DNA sequence of interest encodes a polypeptide involved in xylogenesis. This limitation is not supported by the instant specification because the teaching on page 15, lines 10-16 is directed to a promoter that functions in xylom or tissue involved in xylogenesis, not a DNA sequence encoding a polypeptide involved in xylogenesis. Therefore, the newly introduced limitation constitutes new matter.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The word "recited" renders the claims indefinite because it is unclear how a sequence may be recited by another sequence.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the sequence disclosed in Genbank accession number AF168777.

The sequence of AF168777 comprises 20 mer, 40 mer, 60 mer, 80mer, 100 mer, 120 mer, 150 mer of SEQ ID NO:113. Therefore, this sequence anticipates the claimed invention.

#### **Specification**

The specification is objected to because the attempt to incorporate subject matter into this application by reference to the provisional application 60/425087 is ineffective because the does not comply with 37 CFR 1.57 for comprising essential material.

The incorporation by reference will not be effective until correction is made to comply with 37 CFR 1.57(b), (c), or (d). If the incorporated material is relied upon to meet any outstanding objection, rejection, or other requirement imposed by the Office, the correction must

be made within any time period set by the Office for responding to the objection, rejection, or other requirement for the incorporation to be effective. Compliance will not be held in abeyance with respect to responding to the objection, rejection, or other requirement for the incorporation to be effective. In no case may the correction be made later than the close of prosecution as defined in 37 CFR 1.114(b), or abandonment of the application, whichever occurs earlier.

Any correction inserting material by amendment that was previously incorporated by reference must be accompanied by a statement that the material being inserted is the material incorporated by reference and the amendment contains no new matter. 37 CFR 1.57(f).

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 21 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 1 is allowable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CELINE X. QIAN whose telephone number is (571)272-0777. The examiner can normally be reached on 10-6:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joe Woitach Ph.D. can be reached on 571-272-0739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/702,319 Page 8

Art Unit: 1636

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Celine X Qian Ph.D./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1636